

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,074	09/11/2003	Koichi Nakata	03554/LH	6177
1933	7590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			PERKEY, WILLIAM B	
767 THIRD AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
25TH FLOC NEW YORK	κ, NY 10017-2023		2851	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/200	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		LU				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/660,074	NAKATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William B. Perkey	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 18 f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	=x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	455 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 20-33 is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 20-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-19</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) <u>10-19</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alastia a requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached On	CE ACTION OF TOTAL				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
		nved III this National Stage				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived				
- See the attached detailed Office action for a list	to the certifica copies not rose	1700.				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030911. 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Tuper moto/min bate <u>Revees</u>	· -					

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 9 and 20-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 033004.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: It appears the word "portion" found on page 89 line 9 and line 27 should be - - portions - -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In independent claim 1 the last paragraph, an exposure control determining section is recited which determines exposure control based on results of the subject field state judgment section (last line). However, no subject field state judgment section is found among the claimed elements of claim 1. Thus, claims 1-8 are vague and indefinite because the claim does not set forth what the subject field state judgment section is or what kind of a result it generates.

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakahara (U.S. Patent No. 6,195,509 B1).

Nakahara discloses a sensor array as element 51 in Fig. 3; a computing section is described in column 11 lines 26-43; an average photometric sensor is disclosed as IC 50; an average luminance computing section is described in column 10 lines 44-46 calculating a mean brightness value Bvd; a subject state judgment section is represented by the step S66 in Fig. 9 and describe at column 10 lines 42-52; an exposure control determining section is disclosed at steps S11-S13 in Fig. 6; and a subject field state judgment section is represented by the step S64 in Fig. 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakahara (U.S. Patent No. 6,195,509 B1) in view of Official Notice (MPEP 2144.03).

Art Unit: 2851

Nakahara shows the claimed invention, as explained above, except for activating the strobe light only when its light output can reach the subject and a mode discriminating section which controls the exposure control determining section. Official Notice is taken that it was well known in the field of photography at the time of applicants invention to prohibit the emission of the strobe unit when the subject is beyond the strobe unit reaching distance and to provide user selection of flash modes such as the non-emission mode, the forced emission mode, and the automatic determination of flash use. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants invention to provide the photography system of Nakahara with other conventional camera system features such as prohibiting the emission of the strobe unit if the subject is beyond its range in order to obtain the desirable feature of conserving the battery power and to provide for conventional flash modes such as the non-emission mode, forced emission mode, and automatic determination emission in order to obtain the desirable feature of a versatile photographic system.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 10-19 are allowed. The primary reason for allowance of claims 10-19 is the claim 10 limitation for an exposure control determining section that determines exposure control based on average luminance value and the results of the determinations at the subject state judgment section and the subject field state judgment section.

Telephone Numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William B. Perkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00am-5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on (571) 272-2112. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William B. Perkey Primary Examiner

WBP:wbp